c.) Remarks

Claims 5, 8, 12-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The informalities noted by the Examiner (the terminology of claims 5 and 8, the structural features in claims 12-14, and the antecedent bases in claims 15 and 18) have been addressed by the above amendment.

Claim 17 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter not described in the specification as filed so as to enable one of ordinary skill. This rejection is curious for several reasons. First, claim 17 is an original claim and provides its own written description. Second, the teaching of selecting a "pen point having capillary force set greater than the capillary force of said water absorber" (original claim 17, lines 6-8) is well within the level of skill in this art. Clarification is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-3 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Lee in view of Takahara (Japanese Pub. No. 02-074688). Additionally, claims 4-6 stand rejected over this art in view of Green '525, claims 9 and 19 over Lee and Takahara with Lenkoff, claims 10-11 over Lee and Takahara in view of Kaufmann, and claims 16-17 over Lee and Takahara in view of St. Marie. Although rejection is respectfully traversed, such is overcome as discussed below by the above amendment of claim 1 to recite the features of claim 4.

That is, even if Lee and Takahara are, in fact, as relied upon by the Examiner, the deficiencies thereof are not met by Green. In this regard, Green teaches rupturable containers containing a colored liquid, arranged in between the periphery of the superposed two sheets (at

least one of which is transparent) forming an air space therebetween. Put another way, in Green, the colored liquid is contained <u>between</u> the two sheets, mandating use of water-impermeable sheets. The containers are depressed from outside the sheets to flow and spread the colored liquid out of the container and into the space between the sheets. Accordingly, from applying pressure to the sheets, writing can be made by a finger or the like.

However, Green has no teaching, suggestion or <u>disclosed applicability (nor is</u> there any advantage understood by those of ordinary skill in this art) arrangement utilizing a porous layer or reversible water-metachromatic mechanism, as recited in the pending claims (or as Lee is relied upon as supposedly teaching). Therefore, there is plainly no <u>motivation</u> for those skilled in the art to have considered combining Green with Lee^{1/} at the time this invention was made and so, there is no *prima facie* obviousness.

Claims 1-11 and 19-20 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-7 of U.S. Patent No. 6,416,853 in view of Lee, Lenkoff and Kaufmann. Respectfully submitted, this rejection is now inappropriate in view of the above amendment. In that regard, as discussed above, it is not at all seen where it would be obvious to provide a water-impermeable flat sheet onto the cloth sheet, or

With respect to Lee, a water pen 32 is covered by a rubber stopper 34 when the pen is used for writing, and when water is refilled the lid 34 is removed. When the tip of the water pen 32 is dipped in water for water supply, the cylinder must be squeezed and released to draw water into the cylinder (column 3, lines 6-11). In contrast, the communication hole in the writing instrument of claim 12 is open to the exterior in order to supply water merely by dipping the tip end or the rear end of the writing instrument in water. See Figs. 9 and 10 of the present application. As recited in claim 12, such occurs by capillary action, which is not taught or suggested by the prior art.

to require a cloth sheet having a specific weight/unit area.

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicants submit that all of the Examiner's concerns are now overcome and the claims are now in allowable condition.

Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application is earnestly solicited.

Claims 1-25 remain presented for continued prosecution.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our New York office by telephone at (212) 218-2100. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

Attorney for Applicants

Lawrence S. Perry

Registration No. 31,865

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO 30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3801
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

NY_MAIN 332706v1



Ushirid States Parent and Train Mark Office

Home

index 🦿

Search

System Alerts eBusiness Center News & Notices

Contact L

Amendments in a Revised Format Now Permitted

Office of Patent Legal Administration << Pre-OG Notices << << Amendments in a Revised Format Now Permitted

The United States Patent and Trademark Office (USPTO or Office) is permitting applicants to submit amendments in a revised format as set forth herein. The revised amendment format is essentially the same as the amendment format that the Office is considering adopting via a revision to 37 CFR 1.121 (Manner of Making Amendments). The revision to 37 CFR 1.121 (if adopted) will simplify amendment submission and improve file management. The Office plans to adopt such a revision to 37 CFR 1.121 by July of 2003, at which point compliance with revised 37 CFR 1.121 will be mandatory.

The revised amendment format is an expansion of the special amendment process instituted for a prototype Electronic File Wrapper program described in USPTO ANNOUNCES PROTOTYPE OF IMAGE PROCESSING, 1265 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 87 (Dec. 17, 2002) ("Prototype Announcement"). The special amendment process (which was limited to claims) has proven overwhelmingly acceptable to applicants participating in the prototype and beneficial to examiners. The revised amendment format provides for amendments to be made to the specification and the drawings in addition to the claims.

Effective immediately, all applicants, including applicants participating in the prototype, may submit amendments using the revised amendment format set forth herein. Applicants may wish to submit all amendments in the revised amendment format because: (1) it will facilitate transition to a revised amendment format when it becomes mandatory, (2) inconsistent versions of claim amendments (clean and marked-up) will be avoided, and (3) time and resources will be saved.

WAIVER of 37 CFR 1.121

The provisions of 37 CFR 1.121(a), (b), (c) and (d) are waived for amendments to the **claims**, **specification**, **and drawings** in all applications in all Technology Centers where the amendments comply with the revised amendment format detailed below. Note: The revised amendment format (and the waiver) does **not** apply to 37 CFR 1.121(h) and (i) which indicate that amendments to reissue applications and reexamination proceedings are governed by 37 CFR 1.173 for reissue applications and 37 CFR 1.530 (d)-(k) for *ex parte* and *inter partes* reexaminations.

In addition, the WAIVER indicated in the above mentioned Prototype Announcement for the limited (claims only) amendment process of that prototype is also expressly continued and amendments in applications (other than reissue applications) in all Technology Centers that comply with the requirements in that announcement will be acceptable.

REVISED AMENDMENT FORMAT

I. Begin Sections on Separate Sheets:

Each section of an amendment paper (e.g., Amendments to the Specification, Amendments to the Claims, Remarks) shall begin on a separate sheet to facilitate separate indexing and electronic scanning of the document.

For example, each of the following four sections of an amendment paper must start on a separate sheet:

a.) Introductory Comments

- b.) Amendments to the Specification
- c.) Amendments to the Claims
- d.) Remarks

II. Submit Only One Version (with markings) of an Amended Part:

The requirement to provide two versions of a replacement paragraph, section, or claim (a clean version and a marked up version), as set forth in current 37 CFR 1.121, is waived where the format set forth below is followed.

III. Amendments to the Claims

A. A Complete Listing of Claims is Always Required:

If an amendment adds, changes or deletes any claim, a detailed listing of all claims that are, or were, in the application, irrespective of whether the claim(s) remains under examination in the application, must be presented, and the amendment to the claims is expressed in the listing. The listing shall be presented as follows:

1. Ascending Order and Status Identifier Required

The listing shall be provided in sequential ascending numerical order (beginning with claim 1). A status identifier shall be provided for every claim in a parenthetical expression following the claim number (e.g., "Claim 1. (original)"). A list of acceptable status identifiers is set forth in part B, below. The text of **all** claims under examination shall be submitted each time any claim is amended. Cancelled and withdrawn claims should be indicated by only the claim number and status. The text of cancelled or withdrawn claims should not be presented.

2. Markings in Currently Amended Claims Required

All claims being currently amended shall be submitted with markings to indicate the changes that have been made relative to the immediate prior version of the claims. The changes in any amended claim should be shown by strikethrough (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter). No separate "clean" version should be submitted for currently amended claims, as this requirement has been eliminated. Markings should only be made in claims being currently amended in an amendment paper.

3. Only Clean Text Required for Other Claims Under Examination.

The text of pending claims *not being currently amended* that are under examination shall be presented in a clean version in the listing. Any claim presented in clean version constitutes an assertion that it has not been changed relative to the immediate prior version.

4. Status to Effect Claim Cancellation or Addition.

A claim may be cancelled by merely indicating the status of the claim as cancelled. Any new claim added by amendment must be indicated by the appropriate status identifier and shall not be underlined. Thus, added new claims of status (new), (reinstated - formerly claim #_) and (re-presented - formerly dependent claim #_) must be presented in clean version. Additional claims may be subject to additional fees, as appropriate.

5. When Grouping of Claims is Permitted.

Consecutive cancelled or withdrawn claims may be aggregated into one line of the listing (e.g. Claims 1 - 5 (cancelled)).



6. Use "Currently Amended" Status Where Applicable. If any "previously reinstated" or "previously re-presented" claim is being amended, the status shall be indicated as "currently amended" with markings as indicated in paragraph A2, above. Multiple status identifiers should not be used for any single claim.

B. Status Identifiers that May be Used:

In order to promote uniformity and consistency, only the following eleven (11) defined status identifiers should be used to indicate the status of the claims (in parentheses after the claim number):

1. (Original): Claim file

Claim filed with the application following the specification

(i.e., not added by preliminary amendment).

2. (Currently amended):

Claim being amended in the current amendment paper.

3. (Previously amended):

Claim not being currently amended, but which was

amended in a previous amendment paper.

4. (Cancelled):

Claim cancelled or deleted from the application.

5. (Withdrawn):

Claim still in the application, but in a non-elected status.

6. (Previously added):

Claim added in an earlier amendment paper.

7. (New):

Claim being added in the current amendment paper.

8. (Reinstated - formerly claim # _):

Claim deleted in an earlier amendment paper, but represented with a new claim number in current

amendment.

9. (Previously reinstated):

Claim deleted in an earlier amendment and reinstated in

an earlier amendment paper.

10. (Re-presented - formerly dependent claim # _):

Dependent claim re-presented in independent form in

current amendment paper.

11. (Previously represented):

Dependent claim re-presented in independent form in an

earlier amendment, but not currently amended.

C. Example of Listing of Claims:

Claims 1-5 (cancelled)

Claim 6 (withdrawn)

Claim 7 (previously amended): A bucket with a handle.

Claim 8 (currently amended): A bucket with a green blue handle.

Claim 9 (withdrawn)

Claim 10 (original): A bucket with a wooden handle.

Claim 11 (cancelled)

Claim 12 (new): A bucket with plastic sides and bottom.

Claim 13 (previously added): A bucket having a circumferential upper lip.

Claim 14 (re-presented - formerly claim 11): A black bucket with a wooden handle.

IV. Amendments to the Specification

Amendments to the specification are to be made by presenting replacement paragraphs, sections or a substitute specification marked up to show changes made relative to the immediate prior version, as set out in 37 CFR 1.121(b). The changes should be shown by strikethrough (for deleted matter) or underlining (for added matter). No accompanying "clean" version shall be supplied. The amendments to the specification shall be presented only one time, and will not appear in successive amendment documents.

V. Amendments to the Drawings

Amendments to the drawing figures shall be made by presenting replacement figures which include the desired changes, without markings, and which comply with § 1.84. The changes shall be explained in the accompanying remarks section of the amendment paper. If the amended drawings are not approved, the applicant will be notified in the next Office action. Any amended drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even though only one figure may be amended. The figure number in the amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended."

For further information on the prototype image electronic processing of patent applications, please contact the Search and Information Resources Administration at: image.processing@uspto.gov. Any questions regarding the submission of amendments pursuant to the revised practice set forth in this notice should be directed to Elizabeth Dougherty (Elizabeth.Dougherty@uspto.gov), Gena Jones (Eugenia.Jones@uspto.gov) or Joe Narcavage (mailto:Joseph.Narcavage@uspto.gov). For information on the waiver or legal aspects of the program, please contact Jay Lucas (Jay.Lucas@uspto.gov) or Rob Clarke (Robert.Clarke@uspto.gov).

Date: 1/31/03

Signed: /s/

STEPHEN KUNIN

Deputy Commissioner for Patent

Examination Policy

HOME | INDEX | SEARCH | SYSTEM STATUS | BUSINESS CENTER | NEWS&NOTICES | CONTACT US | PRIVACY STATEMENT

Last Modified: 02/24/2003 15:15:41